INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH AND KNOWLEDGE ISSN-2213-1356 www.ijirk.com

Analysis of President Trump's Character Color Based on Interpersonal Function Theory and Corpus Driven Methods

Xiangyu Luo* School of Foreign studies Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

Junhong Dong Professor, School of Foreign studies Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an,Shaanxi, China

> Xiangfang Luo School of Foreign studies Longyan College, Longyan, Fujian, China

> > *Corresponding Author

Abstract

Halliday points out: The interpersonal function of language plays an important role in people's daily interactions. People use their interpersonal functions to express their opinions, attitudes, identities, roles and intentions to establish and maintain specific ones. In this paper, by collecting Trump's speeches, interviews and tweets, the author built a Trump's corpus of more than 110,000 words and combined with the theory of interpersonal function to try to analyze the colour of Trump's characters. The analysis shows that Trump's use of medium and low modal words is significantly higher than that of high modal words, indicating that Trump's behaviours are very strong, arrogant, and does not follow the rules, but Trump still knows how to use the modal words and low modal words take care of the feelings of the people and grasp the hearts of the people. Therefore, the author believes that Trump is a very powerful and flexible president.

Keywords: Interpersonal Function Theory, Corpus Driven, Trump Character Color

1. Introduction

As a systemic functional linguist, Halliday divides the pure function of language into conceptual, interpersonal and textual functions. Among them, interpersonal function refers to the establishment and maintenance of interpersonal relationships in the language, and express his attitudes and inferences to others, trying to influence the attitudes and behaviours of others. System linguists emphasize the communicative function of language and see language as a tool for social communication. With the continuous development of China's system function theory in recent years, the theory of interpersonal function has attracted the attention of scholars.

US President Trump appears to be a "crazy man" in the eyes of many people. After he became president, he remained as unscrupulous as ever, without any intention to change or converge. Rather than being a president, it is better to say that he is still a real star. He uses Twitter, and his mouth is unobtrusive. He is keen to give people a nickname and humiliate others, and dismisses all reports that are not good for him as "fake media". Obviously, Trump is still in the "in the wild" state, busying in defending and fighting, not building and uniting. Internally, he often unrelentingly disclosed the number of slogans and confidants, exposing each other's differences to the front of the people; for example, he criticizes the White House chief of staff Kelly's speech on the Mexican border wall is nonsense, insisting that he was in charge. Even the members of the government team have been intolerant of Trump's ignorance and arrogance, and Tillerson and Kelly have almost publicly accused Trump of being an idiot. This is evident from the scattered sand of the White House control team. But despite this, Trump is still able to control power. Both the Democrats and the Republican Party have not found an effective way to restrict him. Those supporters who push him into the White House are still alive. This is the biggest mystery that Trump brings to American politics. Is Trump the "maniac" that everyone thinks? What is the colour of Trump's characters? This article collects Trump's nearly 110,000 words of remarks, establishes a Trump speech corpus, and explores Trump's character colour with Halliday's interpersonal meta-function theory.

2. Interpersonal meta-function of language

The interpersonal meta-function of language is one of the three major functions of language proposed by Halliday. Halliday proposes that the three major functions of the language are: concept meta-function, interpersonal meta-function, and discourse meta-function. Conceptual meta-function refers to the reaction of objects in the real world, which is realized by the transit system. Interpersonal meta-function refers to the potential meaning of participants, which consists of mood and modality. The discourse meta-function means that the language itself can be organized into discourses, which consist of the theme and coherence. It can be seen that in addition to the functions of expressing the speaker's personal experience and inner activity, the language also has the functions of expressing the speaker's identity, status, attitude, motivation and his inference, judgment and evaluation of other things.

In this paper, the corpus is mainly used to explore the functions of Trump's speech, so as to analyze the colour of the characters. The modality is the choice of the various degrees between the two poles. The choice of polarity is not affirmative or negative, and the choice of modality is diverse, such as "usual", "frequent" and so on. Halliday divides modality into two types, one is the modality in the proposition, including the probability and the usuality, expressed by "might, may, usually, always", etc.; the other is the proposed modality, including obligation and inclination, can be expressed by the modal auxiliary verbs "will, would, shall, should", etc. The modal words have three levels: high, medium and low.

3. Research Methods

3.1 Research questions

What kind of character does the US President Trump have?

3.2 Research objects

US President Trump's speeches, interviews, and comments on Twitter

3.3 Research methods

(1) Corpus-driven method

The author collected a total of more than 110,000 words from President Trump's speeches, interviews, and tweets, formed a raw text, and tagged the corpus text, and then used AntCont software for corpus analysis, trying to analyze the colour of Trump's characters.

(2) Literature method

This paper collects relevant works of corpus analysis and human and functional theory at home and abroad through the literature method. The author tries to understand and grasp the current status of relevant research on corpus analysis and human and functional theory, form a preliminary understanding of research issues, and laying the foundation for further research.

4. Character colour analysis based on Trump corpus-driven

Halliday believes that modality is an area of uncertainty between affirmation and negation. Modality can be thought of liking the speaker's perception of his state of will, emotions, and attitudes, revealing the speaker's uncertainty about knowing things. The speaker can express his attitude and evaluation through different levels of possibilities. Use the modal verbs "will, would, shall, should", etc. to express the willingness and inclination in the proposal. The modal words have three levels of high, medium and low as shown in the following table:

High	Must, ought to, need, has to, is to
Medium	Will, would, shall, should
Low	May,might, can could

Table 4-1: Level of modal words

As shown in the figure below, Figure 4-1 "would" word frequency, Figure 4-2 "will" word frequency, the author passed the AntConc software performs word frequency statistics for high, medium, and low modal words for more than 110,000 words, including Trump corpus for Trump speeches, interviews, and tweets.

Total N	lo. of Clu	uster Type	es 40	Total No. of Clus	ter Tokens	188		
Rank	Freq	Range	Cluster					
1	53	1	would have	9				
2	29	1	would be					
3	12	1	would you					
4	12	1	would've					
5	11	1	would say					
6	9	1	would not					
7	5	1	would do					
8	5	1	would like					
9	4	1	would end					
10	4	1	would neve	er				
11	3	1	would let					
12	2	1	would actu	ally				
<) (> < >	<)	

Figure 4-1: "would" word frequency

Conco	rdance	Concordar	nce Plot File View	Clusters/N-Grams	Collocates	Word List	Keyword List	
Fotal N	lo. of Cl	uster Type	es 96	Total No. of Clus	ter Tokens	403		
Rank	Freq	Range	Cluster					^
1	60	1	will be					
2	28	1	will never					
3	27	1	will make					
4	21	1	will say					
5	13	1	will always					
6	13	1	will not					
7	11	1	will do					
8	11	1	will get					
9	10	1	will tell					
10	8	1	will bring					
11	8	1	will take					
12	7	1	will have					
<	> <	> < >	 				3	

Figure 4-2: "will" word frequency

Tuble 1 27 High mouth word frequency			
Category	Frequency	Total	
must	39		
ought to	0	133	
is to	0		
need	92		
has to	2		

 Table 4-2: High modal word frequency

As can be seen from Table 4-2, in the collected corpus, Trump used a total of 133 high-speech words in speech, and "need" has a frequency of up to 92 times, "ought to" and " The frequency of is to" is the lowest, 0 times. The author thinks that the reason why words "ought to" and "is to" are not used by Trump is that the tone is too heavy and there is a sense of mandatory order, which will make the people feel uncomfortable.

Category	Frequency	Total
will	403	
would	188	653
shall	0	
should	62	

Table 4-3: modal word frequency

As can be seen from Table 4-3, in the collected corpus, Trump used the total number of modal words in the speech to be 653 times, the frequency of "will" was 403 times, and the frequency of "shall" was the lowest, for 0 times. The author believes that "will" means a general prediction of what will happen in the future, or a commitment to an upcoming event based on the speaker's predictions, intentions, and beliefs. Trump's use of the word "will" is intended to give the American people a blueprint for the future and hope for the people.

Category	Frequency	Total
may	17	
might	7	444
can	323	
could	97	

Table 4-4: Low modal word frequency

As can be seen from Table 4-4, in the collected corpus, Trump used a total of 133 low-speech words in the speech, "can" has a maximum frequency of 323, and "might" has the lowest frequency, for 0 times. The author believes that "can" appears most frequently because "can" mainly expresses an ability or an objective possibility. Trump wants to use "can" more and more to make the American people realize the possibility of Trump's idea of "making the United States strong again" in a subtle way.

Category	Frequency	
High modality	133	
Middle modality	653	
Low modality	444	

Table 4-5: Frequency comparison of high, medium and low modal words

From Table 4-5, we can see that the frequency of high, medium and low modal words is 133, 653 and 444 respectively. From high modal words to low modal words, the degree of toughness is decreasing; the degree of euphemism in tone is increasing. Therefore, from the perspective of interpersonal function, Trump is not as crazy and arrogant as the outside world rumors. In other words, Trump's appearance is crazy and arrogant, but he actually knows how to use modal words and low modality words to grasp the hearts of the people.

5. Conclusion

This article collects Trump's speeches, interviews, and tweets, builds a more than 110,000-word Trump corpus with AntConc software, conducts a corpus-driven research through the Trump corpus, and attempts to use Trump in conjunction with interpersonal functional theory. The character color is analyzed. The analysis shows that Trump's use of medium and low modal words is significantly higher than that of high modal words, indicating that Trump knows how to care for the people. Therefore, the author believes that Trump is a very powerful and flexible president. His hard side was that he was very strong and arrogant when he was in front of people, especially his opponents. He did not follow the rules and let the people see a powerful and powerful president, so that give the people who gradually became desperate because of the economic recession confidence. On the soft side, Trump knows how to use the modal words such as modal words and low modal words to take care of people's feelings and grasp the hearts of the people.

References

[1] Halliday, M.A.K.An Introduction to Functional Grammar.London: Arnold.1994.

[2] Cao Ting. A Comparative Study of the First TV Debate between Trump and Hillary Clinton in the Theory of Interpersonal Function-Taking the Analysis of Modal System as an Example[J]. Journal of Hefei University of Technology(Social Science Edition), 2017.

[3] Hu Zhuanglin, Zhu Yongsheng, Zhang Delu. Introduction to Systemic Functional Grammar [M]. Changsha: Hunan Education Press, 1989.

[4] Hu Shuiliang. Research on the interpersonal meta-function of Bill Gates Harvard speech from the perspective of system function theory [J]. Shandong University, 2011.

[5] Li Wenzhong. Corpus Marking and Labeling: Taking Chinese English Corpus as an Example [J]. Foreign Language Teaching and Research, 2012

[6] Wang Kefei. On the Application of Parallel Corpus in Translation Teaching[J]. Foreign Language Teaching and Research, 2015.

[7] Xu Chaozhen. Research on Interpersonal Function of Systemic Functional Grammar[J]. College of Foreign Languages, Jilin University, 2004.

[8] Yang Bingzhen. Sui Chaoxian. The meta-function Thought in Systemic Functional Linguistics[J]. Journal of Sun Yat-sen University, 2001.

[9] Zhu Huimin; Wang Junju The development of vocabulary richness in English writing: a longitudinal study based on self-built corpus[J]. Foreign Languages, 2013.

This work was sponsored by the Seed Foundation of Innovation and Creation for Graduate Students in Northwestern Polytechnical University